

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/729,322	į	12/04/2003	Kenzo Matsumoto	JCLA12520 1383			
7590 08/24/2004		08/24/2004		EXAM	INER		
J.C. Patents				LEUNG, RICHARD L			
Suite 250	-			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
4 Venture				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Irvine, CA 92618				3744			
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	111				
		10/729,322		MATSUMOTO ET	AL.				
Of	fice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Richard L. Leung		3744					
	MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	eet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> .								
	,	s action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since closed	the second section of the second section as to the merits is								
Disposition of	Claims								
4a) Of 5)	the state of the s								
Application Pa	pers								
10)⊠ The dr Applic Replac	pecification is objected to by the Examinawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is set ant may not request that any objection to the cement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted on e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dra	beyance. See awing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Mail Date	Pape) -152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/729,322

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: Refrigerating Device Using A Refrigerant Containing Carbon Dioxide.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation of "carbon oxide" on page 2, line 17 of the specification is understood to be --carbon dioxide--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite the term, "combustible nature refrigerant." There is no clear definition of this term in the applicant's disclosure, and the term does not appear to be one commonly understood in the art. As such, the claims are rendered indefinite. Clarification of the term is needed to overcome this rejection. For the remainder of this action, the term "combustible nature refrigerant" will be treated as comprising hydrocarbon refrigerants, as best understood from the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/729,322

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6117356 (Powell et al.). Powell et al. disclose a refrigeration device comprising a compressor, a gas cooler (condenser), an expansion mechanism (expansion valve), and an evaporator. See column 2, lines 24-27. It is inherent that these components are sequentially connected using refrigerant pipes. The refrigeration device, it is disclosed, uses a refrigerant mixture that may contain carbon dioxide at 1-30% by weight (see column 3), and therefore is understood to overlap the range 20-50 mass % set forth by the claim. The refrigerant mixture may also include a combustible nature refrigerant, a hydrocarbon such as butane and pentane (column 4, lines 35-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6117356 (Powell et al.) in view of "Guidelines for the use of

Application/Control Number: 10/729,322

Art Unit: 3744

Hydrocarbon Refrigerants in Static Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Systems," (hereinafter ACRIB). Powell et al. disclose a refrigeration device comprising a compressor, a gas cooler (condenser), an expansion mechanism (expansion valve), and an evaporator. See column 2, lines 24-27. It is inherent that these components are sequentially connected using refrigerant pipes. The refrigeration device, it is disclosed, uses a refrigerant mixture that may contain carbon dioxide (see column 3). The refrigerant mixture may also include a combustible nature refrigerant, a hydrocarbon such as butane and pentane (column 4, lines 35-43). Powell et al. fail to disclose that the maximum fill amount of the combustible nature refrigerant is 150g. ACRIB (which stands for Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Industry Board) teaches that the recommended charge size of hydrocarbon refrigerants for use in systems installed in rooms of any size is 0.15kg (equivalent to 150g) or less (see Sections 2.1-2.2, particularly page 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have had a maximum fill amount of 150g for the combustible nature refrigerant in the device disclosed by Powell et al. because ACRIB expressly teaches that such an amount is the acceptable safe charge level for combustible hydrocarbon refrigerants for use in rooms of any size.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5736063 Richard et al. 04-07-1998: discloses a refrigerant composition containing carbon dioxide and combustible hydrocarbon refrigerant.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/729,322

Art Unit: 3744

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 703-306-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung Examiner Art Unit 3744

rll

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700